## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



**Open Access** 

# Overexpression of SLC38A1 is associated with poorer prognosis in Chinese patients with gastric cancer

Jing Xie<sup>1\*†</sup>, Ping Li<sup>2†</sup>, Hui-feng Gao<sup>1</sup>, Jian-xin Qian<sup>3</sup>, Ling-Yan Yuan<sup>3</sup> and Jie-jun Wang<sup>3\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Current literature has demonstrated that host glutamine depletion facilitates tumorigenesis. Likewise, the glutamine transporter SLC38A1 is putatively associated with malignant transformation and tumor progression. Taken together, this forms the premise for undertaking the current study. The twofold aim of this study was to provide insight into whether or not a variance in the expression of SLC38A1 exists between human gastric cancer and healthy human tissues, and to determine how silencing the SLC38A1 gene could affect the proliferation, viability, migration, and invasion of gastric cancer cells.

**Methods:** Immunohistochemical staining was used to analyze the expression of SLC38A1 in gastric cancer tissues and adjacent healthy mucosa in 896 patients with pathologically confirmed gastric cancer who had underwent R0 resection. SH-10-TC cells (a gastric cancer cell line) were used to examine whether silencing SLC38A1 with siRNA could affect cell viability, migration and invasion.

**Results:** The SLC38A1 protein was very low or undetectable in healthy gastric mucosa. In contrast, strong staining of SLC38A1 protein was found in the cytoplasm in 495 out of the 896 gastric cancer samples. More pronounced SLC38A1 expression in gastric cancer tissues was significantly associated with age, differentiation status, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen) expression. Upon univariate survival analysis, SLC38A1 expression was correlated with poor survival. Multivariate survival analysis revealed that SLC38A1 was an independent prognostic factor.

**Conclusion:** SLC38A1 is overexpressed in gastric cancer, which suggests that it is contributory to tumor progression. These results encourage the exploration of SLC38A1 as a target for intervention in gastric cancer.

Keywords: Gastric cancer, Tissue microarray, Immunohistochemistry, SLC38A1, Prognostic factor

## Background

Amino acid transporters are essential for a variety of physiological cellular processes, including uptake of nutrients, energy and chemical metabolism, as well as malignant transformation [1]. Amino acid transporters include system A (ATA1/SNAT1/SLC38A1, ATA2/SNAT2/SLC38A2 and ATA3/SNAT4/SLC38A4), system L (LAT1/SLC7A5 and

<sup>3</sup>Department of oncology, Changzheng Hospital, the Second Military Medical

LAT2/SLC7A8), and y + (CAT2/SLC7A2). Among the various amino acid transporters, system L-type amino acid transporter-1 (LAT-1) is up-regulated in a wide variety of human cancers, including esophageal adenocarcinoma [2], oral squamous cell carcinoma [3], colorectal adenocarcinoma [4], and liver cancer [5]. System A amino acid transporter is also overexpressed in human cancers [5-8]. System A is a Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent active transport system, known to mediate the uptake of amino acids with small side chains (e.g., alanine, serine, proline and glutamine) [1]. Its activity is highly influenced by pH, cell volume, and a variety of hormones, such as insulin, glucagon, and insulin-like growth factor-I [1].



© 2014 Xie et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: jingxie188@163.com; jiejunw@csco.org.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Equal contributors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Integrative Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center; Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, 270 DongAn Road, Shanghai 200032, China

University, 6 HeTian Road, Shanghai 2000ard, the second Military Medical

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

System A transporter in mammalian cells includes three distinct types, known as amino acid transporters SLC38A1, SLC38A2 and SLC38A4 [9]. These transporters belong to the solute-linked carrier family SLC38, and are differentially expressed among different organs/tissues. SLC38A1 is expressed primarily in the brain and placenta, as opposed to the lungs, liver, spleen and kidneys [10]. SLC38A2 is, on the other hand, ubiquitously expressed in mammalian tissues [9], while SLC38A4 is primarily expressed in the liver [11]. System A transporters are up-regulated in a range of human cancers, including breast cancer and hepatocellular cancer [6,7].

Our previous studies, using gene chip analysis, suggested elevated SLC38A1 mRNA expression in gastric cancer (unpublished data). In the current study, we compared the expression of SLC38A1 in gastric carcinoma in contrast with healthy adjacent gastric mucosa at the protein level. Potential correlation of SLC38A1 with the prognosis was examined using a multivariate analysis, and the biological role of SLC38A1 in proliferation and progression was examined in cultured gastric cancer cells using siRNA.

## Methods

#### Patients

This study included 896 patients (median age: 61.4 years; 634 men, 262 women) with histologically confirmed gastric cancer who underwent D2/D3 curative resection at either Changhai or Changzheng Hospital in Shanghai, during a period from 2001 to 2005. Subjects who received neo-adjuvant therapy prior to the surgery were not included. Subjects with stage III disease also received a 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapeutic regimen for 4– 6 cycles. Patients presenting with neuroendocrine tumors, lymphoma or sarcoma were not included. Adjacent mucosa that was free from cancer cells was used as a healthy control.

The follow-up was conducted via phone conversation and mail in March 2010. Information with regards to survival/death was obtained in 673 cases (median survival: 59.08 months).

Demographic information and the construction of TMA blocks were described previously [12-15]. All tissue specimens were obtained with informed consent, and the use of the human specimens was approved by Institutional Review Board at Changhai and Changzheng Hospitals.

## Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Immunohistochemical staining was carried out on 4-µm paraffin sections after heat-mediated antigen retrieval. Samples were incubated with a human-anti-rabbit polyclonal antibody to SLC38A1 (dilution 1:200; Abcam, Cambridge, U K) overnight at 4°C. Goat anti-rabbit antibody (dilution 1:4000; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used as a secondary antibody. An immunoglobulinnegative control was used to rule out non-specific binding. As for the positive control, we referred to other studies in liver cells by Nobuo Kondoh [6] and in hilar cholangiocarcinoma by Yu WL [16], which indicated the SLC38A1 protein was stained brown and diffused in the cytoplasm. Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Data (staining in cytoplasma) were acquired independently by two investigators (Guan Zhen Yu and Ying Chen) blinded to sample nature using an Olympus CX31 microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA), and analyzed using a semi-quantitative scoring system. Staining was graded on a scale of 0-2 (0 = negative staining [no cytoplasmic staining of any tumor cells], 1 = moderate expression [cytoplasmic staining of <25% of tumor cells], and 2 = high expression [cytoplasmic staining of ≥25% of tumor cells]) as described previously [14,17].

#### Cell culture and siRNA transfection

SH-10-TC cells were obtained from Shanghai Institute for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), and grown in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5%  $CO_2$ . The experiments were carried out in the exponential phase of growth.

Four pairs of anti-SLC38A1 siRNAs and randomized cocktails of dsRNA as a control were synthesized by GenePharma (Shanghai, China). The siRNA transfection was carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

## Western blot analysis

Cell lysate containing 100  $\mu$ g protein was resolved on SDS-PAGE, transferred onto PVDF membrane and incubated with an anti-SLC38A1 antibody (dilution, 1:500; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Protein bands were evaluated using an Odyssey infrared fluorescent scanning system (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) and Scion Image software (NIH, USA). GAPDH was used as an internal control.

## Cell viability

SH-10-TC cells were seeded in 96-well plates at the day before transfection. Cells were counted at 48 h, 72 h and 96 h after transfection using a microtiter-plate colorimetric WST assay (Cell counting kit-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) at 450 nm. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

#### Transwell migration and invasion assay

Assays were performed using a modified Boyden chamber (Corning Costar, Rochester, NY, USA) containing a gelatincoated polycarbonate membrane filter (8-µm pore size). The upper surface of the filter was coated with 20  $\mu$ L Matrigel (0.3 mg/ml; BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA). Transfected cells were harvested with a cell dissociation solution (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and suspended in medium with 1% bovine serum albumin.

Cells  $(1 \times 10^4)$  were added to the upper compartment and allowed to migrate for 6 h at 37°C. After 6 h, cells on the upper side of the membrane were removed with a cotton swab. Cells on the bottom surface of the membrane were fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde, stained with hematoxylin for quantification. Data were expressed as the average number of cells per insert.

#### Statistical analysis

The relationship between SLC38A1 expression and clinicopathologic parameters was analyzed using the chisquare test. Survival was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. The prognostic value of clinicopathologic parameters was examined using a Cox proportional hazards regression model for multivariate analysis. All tests were two-sided. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software program for Microsoft Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

#### Results

#### SLC38A1 protein in gastric cancer

The expression of SLC38A1 protein in the healthy gastric mucosa was very low or undetectable (Figure 1A). Strong staining of SLC38A1 protein in the cytoplasm was found in 495 out of the 896 cancer samples in both the well differentiated (Figure 1B), and poorly differentiated cancer cells (Figure 1C). In the cytoplasm, the SLC38A1 protein was stained brown and diffused.

## SLC38A1 expression and clinicopathologic factors

SLC38A1 overexpression was closely associated with old age (>60 yrs), differentiation status (high/moderate), regional lymph node metastasis, TNM stage (III), and positive PCNA expression (Table 1), but not with gender, tumor size, tumor location, operation manner, lymphovascular invasion, depth of tumor invasion, and p53 expression.

#### Prognostic factors of overall survival

SLC38A1 expression in cancer tissue was associated with poorer prognosis. The median survival was 46.69 months in patients with SLC38A1-positive cancers, and 69.7 months in subjects with SLC38A1-negative cancers (P = 0.002; Figure 2). Upon a multivariate analysis, independent



nnPAge0.007 $\leq$ 60 years447227> 60 years449268Sex0.437Male634345Female262150Location0.277Cardia14486Corpus281150Antrum440246Whole3113Operation manner0.590Subtotal gastrectomy400217Total gastrectomy496278Size (Diameter)0.31131Offerentiation111Differentiation337Luw/undifferentiated343158Gastric wall invasion151Ti/T2308160Ta/T4588335Negative346175Positive360160Ti/T4580320Ti/M stage0.001Vil427212III459267Positive4629positive4629positive401228Positive401228Positive401228Positive405267Positive407218Positive407218Lymphovascular invasion0.012Negative401228Positive401228Positive401228Positive401228Positive4052	Clinicopathological fac Clinicopathological variables	Total	SLC38A1 positive expression			
n         n $P$ Age         0.007 $\leq$ 60 years         447         227           > 60 years         449         268           Sex         0.437           Male         634         345           Female         262         150           Location         0.277           Cardia         144         86           Corpus         281         150           Antrum         440         246           Whole         31         13           Operation manner         0.590           Subtotal gastrectomy         400         217           Total gastrectomy         496         278           Size (Diameter)						
Age       0.007         ≤ 60 years       447       227         > 60 years       449       268         Sex       0.437         Male       634       345         Female       262       150         Location       0.277         Cardia       144       86         Corpus       281       150         Antrum       440       246         Whole       31       13         Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321       5         ≤ 6 cm       706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation		n	n	Р		
≤ 60 years     447     227       > 60 years     449     268       Sex     0.437       Male     634     345       Female     262     150       Location     0.277       Cardia     144     86       Corpus     281     150       Antrum     440     246       Whole     31     13       Operation manner     0.590       Subtotal gastrectomy     496     278       Size (Diameter)     0.321       ≤ 6 cm     706     384       > 6 cm     190     111       Differentiation         Kuy/undifferentiated     343     158       Gastric wall invasion     0.151       T1/T2     308     160       T3/T4     588     335       Negative     346     175       Positive     550     320       TNM stage     0.001     111       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     461     29       positive     462     29       positive     461     28       Positive <t< td=""><td>Age</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.007</td></t<>	Age			0.007		
> 60 years         449         268           Sex         0.437           Male         634         345           Female         262         150           Location         0.277           Cardia         144         86           Corpus         281         150           Antrum         440         246           Whole         31         13           Operation manner         0.590         500           Subtotal gastrectomy         496         278           Size (Diameter)         0.321         54           ≤ 6 cm         706         384           > 6 cm         190         111           Differentiation             Kay         363         337           Low/undifferentiated         343         158           Gastric wall invasion         0.151           T1/T2         308         160           T3/T4         588         335           Negative         346         175           Positive         350         320           TMM stage         0.001         111           Lymphovascular invasion         0.275	≤ 60 years	447	227			
Sex       0.437         Male       634       345         Female       262       150         Location       0.277         Cardia       144       86         Corpus       281       150         Antrum       440       246         Whole       31       13         Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321         ≤ 6 cm       706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation           Low/undifferentiated       343       158         Gastric wall invasion       .0151         T1/T2       308       160         T3/T4       588       335         lymph node metastasis       .026         Negative       346       175         Positive       350       320         TNM stage       .0275       .0275         Negative       461       29         positive       450       267         Positive       461       28<	> 60 years	449	268			
Male     634     345       Female     262     150       Location     0.277       Cardia     144     86       Corpus     281     150       Antrum     440     246       Whole     31     13       Operation manner     0.590       Subtotal gastrectomy     400     217       Total gastrectomy     496     278       Size (Diameter)     0.321       ≤ 6 cm     706     384       > 6 cm     190     111       Differentiation         Kuyundifferentiated     343     158       Gastric wall invasion      0.151       T1/T2     308     160       T3/T4     588     335       lymph node metastasis     0.026       Negative     346     175       Positive     346     175       Positive     346     20       TNM stage     0.001     111       U/I     427     212       III     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     461     29       positive     462     29       positive     463     267	Sex			0.437		
Female       262       150         Location       0.277         Cardia       144       86         Corpus       281       150         Antrum       440       246         Whole       31       13         Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321         ≤ 6 cm       706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation           Kuyundifferentiated       343       158         Gastric wall invasion       .0151         T1/T2       308       160         T3/T4       588       335         Negative       346       175         Positive       346       175         Negative       346       175         Negative       346       283         Lymphovascular invasion       .0275         Negative       461       29         positive       462       29         positive       463       29         positive       4	Male	634	345			
Location0.277Cardia14486Corpus281150Antrum440246Whole3113Operation manner0.590Subtotal gastrectomy400217Total gastrectomy496278Size (Diameter)0.321≤ 6 cm706384> 6 cm190111DifferentiationIndigeneritiated343158Gastric wall invasion0.151T1/T2308160T3/T4588335Negative550320TNM stage346175Positive550320TNM stage469283Lymphovascular invasion0.275Negative850466Positive4529p53 expression0.382Negative401228Positive401228Positive495267PCNA expression0.012Negative9943	Female	262	150			
Cardia     144     86       Corpus     281     150       Antrum     440     246       Whole     31     13       Operation manner     0.590       Subtotal gastrectomy     400     217       Total gastrectomy     496     278       Size (Diameter)     0.321       ≤ 6 cm     706     384       > 6 cm     190     111       Differentiation         High/moderate     553     337       Low/undifferentiated     343     158       Gastric wall invasion     0.151       T1/T2     308     160       T3/T4     588     335       Iymph node metastasis     0.026       Negative     346     175       Positive     346     175       Upphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     461     29       p53 expression     0.382       Negative     401     228       Positive     401     228       Positive     495     267       Positive     495     267       Positive     99     43	Location			0.277		
Corpus       281       150         Antrum       440       246         Whole       31       13         Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321         ≤ 6 cm       706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation       <	Cardia	144	86			
Antrum       440       246         Whole       31       13         Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321 $\leq 6 \ cm$ 706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation       -       <0.001	Corpus	281	150			
Whole       31       13         Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321 $\leq 6  cm$ 706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation       <	Antrum	440	246			
Operation manner       0.590         Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321 $\leq 6 \ cm$ 706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation        <0.001	Whole	31	13			
Subtotal gastrectomy       400       217         Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321 $\leq 6  cm$ 706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation       -       <0.001	Operation manner			0.590		
Total gastrectomy       496       278         Size (Diameter)       0.321 $\leq 6  \mathrm{cm}$ 706       384         > 6  \mathrm{cm}       190       111         Differentiation       <	Subtotal gastrectomy	400	217			
Size (Diameter)       0.321         ≤ 6 cm       706       384         > 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation       <0.001	Total gastrectomy	496	278			
<ul> <li>≤ 6 cm</li> <li>706</li> <li>384</li> <li>&gt; 6 cm</li> <li>190</li> <li>111</li> <li>Differentiation</li> <li></li> <li></li> <li>High/moderate</li> <li>553</li> <li>337</li> <li></li> <li>Low/undifferentiated</li> <li>343</li> <li>158</li> <li>Gastric wall invasion</li> <li>T1/T2</li> <li>308</li> <li>160</li> <li>T3/T4</li> <li>588</li> <li>335</li> <li>Iymph node metastasis</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>346</li> <li>175</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>346</li> <li>175</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>1427</li> <li>212</li> <li>III</li> <li>469</li> <li>283</li> <li>Lymphovascular invasion</li> <li>0.275</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>850</li> <li>466</li> <li>29</li> <li>p53 expression</li> <li>A63</li> <li>267</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>401</li> <li>228</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>402</li> <li>267</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>401</li> <li>228</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>401</li> <li>403</li> <li>404</li> <li>405</li> <li< td=""><td>Size (Diameter)</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.321</td></li<></ul>	Size (Diameter)			0.321		
> 6 cm       190       111         Differentiation       <0.001	≤ 6 cm	706	384			
Differentiation       <0.001	> 6 cm	190	111			
High/moderate       553       337         Low/undifferentiated       343       158         Gastric wall invasion       0.151         T1/T2       308       160         T3/T4       588       335         lymph node metastasis       0.026         Negative       346       175         Positive       346       175         Positive       550       320         TNM stage       0.001         I/I       427       212         III       469       283         Lymphovascular invasion       0.275         Negative       46       29         positive       46       29         positive       401       228         Positive       401       228         Positive       495       267         Positive       99       43	Differentiation			< 0.001		
Low/undifferentiated       343       158         Gastric wall invasion       0.151         T1/T2       308       160         T3/T4       588       335         Iymph node metastasis       0.026         Negative       346       175         Positive       346       175         Positive       550       320         TNM stage       0.001         I/I       427       212         III       469       283         Lymphovascular invasion       0.275         Negative       466       29         p53 expression       0.382         Negative       401       228         Positive       495       267         PCNA expression       0.012         Negative       99       43	High/moderate	553	337			
Gastric wall invasion       0.151         T1/T2       308       160         T3/T4       588       335         lymph node metastasis       0.026         Negative       346       175         Positive       346       175         Positive       550       320         TNM stage       0.001       0.001         I/I       427       212         III       469       283         Lymphovascular invasion       0.275         Negative       850       466         Positive       46       29         p53 expression       0.382         Negative       401       228         Positive       402       267         Positive       495       267         PCNA expression       0.012         Negative       99       43	Low/undifferentiated	343	158			
T1/T2       308       160         T3/T4       588       335         lymph node metastasis       0.026         Negative       346       175         Positive       550       320         TNM stage       0.001         I/I       427       212         III       469       283         Lymphovascular invasion       0.275         Negative       850       466         Positive       46       29         p53 expression       0.382         Negative       401       228         Positive       402       267         Positive       495       267         Positive       99       43	Gastric wall invasion			0.151		
T3/T4       588       335         lymph node metastasis       0.026         Negative       346       175         Positive       550       320         TNM stage       0.001         I/I       427       212         III       469       283         Lymphovascular invasion       0.275         Negative       450       466         Positive       466       29         p53 expression       0.382         Negative       401       228         Positive       495       267         PCNA expression       0.012         Negative       99       43	T1/T2	308	160			
lymph node metastasis       0.026         Negative       346       175         Positive       550       320         TNM stage       0.001         I/I       427       212         III       469       283         Lymphovascular invasion       0.275         Negative       850       466         Positive       46       29         p53 expression       0.382         Negative       401       228         Positive       405       267         POSitive       99       43	T3/T4	588	335			
Negative     346     175       Positive     550     320       TNM stage     0.001       I/I     427     212       III     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     850     466       Positive     46     29       p53 expression     0.382       Negative     401     228       Positive     495     267       PCNA expression     0.012       Negative     99     43	lymph node metastasis			0.026		
Positive         550         320           TNM stage         0.001           I/I         427         212           III         469         283           Lymphovascular invasion         0.275           Negative         850         466           Positive         46         29           p53 expression         0.382           Negative         401         228           Positive         495         267           PCNA expression         0.012           Negative         99         43	Negative	346	175			
TNM stage     0.001       I/I     427     212       III     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     850     466       Positive     46     29       p53 expression     0.382       Negative     401     228       Positive     495     267       PCNA expression     0.012       Negative     99     43	Positive	550	320			
I/I     427     212       III     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     850     466       Positive     46     29       p53 expression     0.382       Negative     401     228       Positive     495     267       PCNA expression     0.012       Negative     99     43	TNM stage			0.001		
III     469     283       Lymphovascular invasion     0.275       Negative     850     466       Positive     46     29       p53 expression     0.382       Negative     401     228       Positive     495     267       PCNA expression     0.012       Negative     99     43	1/11	427	212			
Lymphovascular invasion0.275Negative850466Positive4629p53 expression0.382Negative401228Positive495267PCNA expression0.012Negative9943		469	283			
Negative850466Positive4629p53 expression0.382Negative401228Positive495267PCNA expression0.012Negative9943Double267	Lymphovascular invasion			0.275		
Positive 46 29 p53 expression 0.382 Negative 401 228 Positive 495 267 PCNA expression 0.012 Negative 99 43	Negative	850	466			
p53 expression 0.382 Negative 401 228 Positive 495 267 PCNA expression 0.012 Negative 99 43	Positive	46	29			
Negative401228Positive495267PCNA expression0.012Negative9943Docivity267	p53 expression			0.382		
Positive 495 267 PCNA expression 0.012 Negative 99 43	Negative	401	228			
PCNA expression 0.012 Negative 99 43	Positive	495	267			
Negative         99         43           Negative         767         150	PCNA expression		201	0.012		
	Negative	99	43	0.012		
POSITIVA /0/ /50	Positive	707	452			

Table 1 Association between SLC38A1 expression and clinicopathological factors of GC patients

prognostic factors for overall survival included SLC38A1 expression, differentiation status, lymphovascular invasion, PCNA expression, size and TNM stage (Table 2).

#### **RNAi** experiments

Specific knockdown of endogenous SLC38A1 protein was confirmed by all four siRNAs with Western blot (Figure 3A). siRNA #2 (si-SLC38A1) reduced the endogenous SLC38A1 transcript by >70%, and was selected for subsequent experiments.

Compared to parental cells and si-Ctl, si-SLC38A1 decreased the proliferation (Figure 3B), as well as the migration of SH-10-TC cells (Figure 3C), but did not affect cell passage through Matrigel.

## Discussion

Consistent with the physiological and pathological roles of glutamate in cancer development, we found marked differences in the expression of SLC38A1 in gastric cancer relative to adjacent non-cancerous tissue. The uncontrolled proliferation of neoplastic cells requires dramatic changes in energy metabolism [18], in which the primary metabolic fuel and nitrogen source for tumor cells in glutamine [19-21]. Glutamine is known to exert influence on a number of signaling pathways that contribute to tumor growth [22], and is additionally correlated with maintaining the TCA cycle and supporting NADPH production when glucose supply is limited [23].

While glutamine is not an essential amino acid, it plays an important role in maintaining the intestinal barrier structure and immune function. Within the three mammalian system A amino acid transporters, SLC38A1 has the highest affinity for glutamine, and is strongly expressed in glutamatergic neurons [24].

Low blood glutamine concentration has been found in patients with gastric carcinoma and conversely increased in the mucous membrane adjacent to the malignant tissue [25]. It is possible that ectopic activation of SLC38A1 may reflect a compensatory mechanism that confers a survival advantage.

## SLC38A1 expression in gastric cancer and other malignancies

In the present study, we found an increased expression of SLC38A1 in gastric carcinomas, relative to adjacent noncancerous gastric mucosa, suggesting that SLC38A1 might play an important part in gastric cancer malignant transformation. Enhanced SLC38A1 expression has been observed in several other types of malignancies, including liver cancer [6], Hilar cholangiocarcinoma [16] and C6 glioma [26]. An elevated expression of SLC38A1 has been found to be closely linked to differentiation status, lymph node metastasis and TNM staging, and is thus implicated in the growth, invasion, metastasis and progression of gastric carcinomas.



#### Potential mechanism of the action

Consistent with the observation in HepG2 liver cancer cell lines [6], our study showed that inhibiting SLC38A1 expression with siRNA decreased the growth of cultured SH-10-TC cells, thus indicating an overexpression of SLC38A1 contributes to oncogenesis of gastric cancer through promoting cell proliferation. Inhibiting SLC38A1 expression also reduced cell migration, providing evidence for increased cell migration as a mechanism for enhanced metastatic potential, and local invasiveness of SLC38A1-expressing tumor cells.

In breast cancer, scientists discovered that 17 betaestradiol specifically increased System A activity by two to four-fold in estrogen receptor positive cell lines, with a maximum stimulation observed 48 h after estrogentreatment. In estrogen receptor negative cell lines, however, no stimulation was observed, which provided evidence that estrogen receptors play a role in the activation of system A by estrogen [27]. In liver cancer, System A amino acid transporters produced a different kind of inactivation and substrate protection in membrane vesicles and reconstituted proteoliposomes, supporting the hypothesis that there were inherent differences presented in System A carriers in normal and transformed liver tissue [28]. In the current study, we found enhanced SCL38A1 expression in gastric cancer, which supported the implication of glutamine metabolism in tumors [29-31]. Interestingly, certain cancer cell lines are dependent on glutamine despite the fact that glutamine is a nonessential amino acid [32]. To be utilized by tumor cells, glutamine must be transported into tumor cell mitochondria. This implies that SLC38A1 could transport glutamine, and thus play an important role in neoplastic progression/ development.

Table 2 Univariate	and multivariate	analysis of the	prognostic s	ignificance of	<sup>i</sup> individual	clinicopathological	factors
on survival							

	Univariate analysis				Multivariate analysis			
	HR	95.0% Cl		P value	HR	95.0% Cl		P value
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
Size (≤ 6 cm vs. > 6 cm)	1.816	1.429	2.309	.000	1.327	1.039	1.696	.024
TNM (I/II vs. III)	4.214	3.292	5.393	.000	3.416	2.637	4.424	.000
Differentiation (high/moderate vs. low/undifferentiated)	1.876	1.509	2.332	.000	1.565	1.249	1.960	.000
Nerve invasion (negative vs. positive)	2.070	1.421	3.015	.000	1.353	.910	2.011	.135
Lymphovascular invasion (negative vs. positive)	2.664	1.770	4.011	.000	1.931	1.258	2.964	.003
PCNA (negative vs. positive)	1.794	1.174	2.742	0.007	1.695	1.098	2.617	.017
P53 (negative vs. positive)	1.277	1.024	1.593	0.03	1.046	.835	1.310	.695
SLC38A1 (negative vs. positive)	1.191	1.065	1.332	0.002	1.122	1.001	1.258	.041



## Association of SLC38A1 expression with clinicopathological characteristics as well as prognosis in patients with GC

Upon further investigation of the relationship between SLC38A1 and clinicopathological factors, we found that overexpression of SLC38A1 was strongly associated with patient age, differentiation status, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and the expression of PCNA, whereas no significant association was found in patient gender, tumor size, tumor location, operation manner, lymphovascular invasion, depth of tumor invasion, and p53 expression. These results indicated that SLC38A1 plays a central role in the malignant progression of gastric carcinomas.

Risk factors for gastric cancers have been reported in a number of studies, including differentiation, [33] nerve invasion [33], lymphovascular invasion [33], size [13,34], TNM stage [34], and some molecular markers [35]. Our study confirmed that differentiation, lymphovascular invasion and the TNM stage were independent predictors for gastric cancer. We additionally found that SLC38A1 expression alone was a prognostic factor, with its prognostic value in multivariate survival analysis for patients who underwent gastrectomy. Therefore, we supposed that overexpression of SLC38A1 is a significant factor associated with a poor prognosis, and might be a marker to forecast gastric cancer patients' recurrence and survival. Secondly, patients with poorer prognosis should be monitored more closely and/or undergo more positive treatment.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, our study showed that SLC38A1 expression was closely associated with age, differentiation status, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and PCNA expression, as well as a worse prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. The results from the experiments using cultured gastric cancer cells indicated that SLC38A1 expression could be used as an indicator of disease aggressiveness. Overall, these findings could be useful in developing a novel molecular target for individualized treatment of gastric cancer.

#### Abbreviations

SLC38A1: Solute carrier family 38, member 1; TMA: Tissue microarray; PCNA: Proliferating cell nuclear antigen; TCA: Tricarboxylicacidcycle.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

JX participated in the study design, collected patient material and drafted the manuscript. PL collected patient material, performed statistical analyses and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. HFG participated in the study design and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. JXQ collected patient material. LYY collected patient material. JJW conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Authors' information

JX, MD of oncology, was involved the application of National Natural Science Foundation associated with gastric cancer. Acquire the Foundation of collaboration with Comprehensive Integrative Medicine institute (CIMI), Republic of Korea. She is the secretary of Committee of Minimally Invasive Therapy in Oncology, Shanghai Anti-Cancer Association.

PL, MS of oncology, is involved the application of National Natural Science Foundation associated with gastric cancer. She is the recipient of a Doctor Innovation Foundation of the Medical of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. HFG, MS of TCM, is involved the application of National Natural Science Foundation associated with pancreatic cancer. HFG has accumulated experiences in the follow-up and analysis the cases.

JXQ, MS of oncology, Vice Director, Department of Oncology, Changzheng Hospital, Shanghai, is involved the application of National Natural Science Foundation associated with gastric cancer.

LYY, MS of oncology, is involved the application of National Natural Science Foundation associated with gastric cancer.

JJW, Director, Department of Oncology, Changzheng Hospital, Shanghai. Director, Department of Oncology. He is the recipient of an award from the National Natural Science Foundation associated with gastric cancer. JJW has long been engaged in cancer research, especially gastric cancer.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Guan-zhen Yu, Chen Ying, Xi Wang, Jun Pan, Ke Liu and Zhou Zhou for the follow-up information collection assistance.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Integrative Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center; Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, 270 DongAn Road, Shanghai 200032, China. <sup>2</sup>Stem Cell Research Center, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200127, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of oncology, Changzheng Hospital, the Second Military Medical University, 64 HeTian Road, Shanghai 20003, China. Received: 10 April 2013 Accepted: 31 March 2014 Published: 9 April 2014

#### References

- McGivan JD, Pastor-Anglada M: Regulatory and molecular aspects of mammalian amino acid transport. *Biochem J* 1994, 299:321–334.
- Lin J, Raoof DA, Thomas DG, Greenson JK, Giordano TJ, Robinson GS, Bourner MJ, Bauer CT, Orringer MB, Beer DG: L-type amino acid transporter-1 overexpression and melphalan sensitivity in Barrett's adenocarcinoma. Neoplasia 2004, 6:74–84.
- Kim DK, Ahn SG, Park JC, Kanai Y, Endou H, Yoon JH: Expression of L-type amino acid transporter 1 (LAT1) and 4F2 heavy chain (4F2hc) in oral squamous cell carcinoma and its precusorlesions. *Anticancer Res* 2000, 24:1671–1675.
- Haase C, Bergmann R, Fuechtner F, Hoepping A, Pietzsch J: L-type amino acid transporters LAT1 and LAT4 in cancer: uptake of 3-O-methyl-6-18 F-fluoro-L-dopa in human adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma in vitro and in vivo. J Nucl Med 2007, 48:2063–2071.
- Campbell WA, Thompson NL: Overexpression of LAT1/CD98 light chain is sufficient to increase system L-amino acid transport activity in mouse hepatocytes but not fibroblasts. J Biol Chem 2001, 276:16877–16884.
- Kondoh N, Imazeki N, Arai M, Hada A, Hatsuse K, Matsuo H, Matsubara O, Ohkura S, Yamamoto M: Activation of a system A amino acid transporter, ATA1/SLC38A1, in human hepatocellular carcinoma and preneoplastic liver tissues. *Int J Oncol* 2007, 31:81–87.
- Wu Y, Shen D, Chen Z, Clayton S, Vadgama JV: Taxol induced apoptosis regulates amino acid transport in breast cancer cells. *Apoptosis* 2007, 12:593–612.
- Novak D, Quiggle F, Haafiz A: Impact of forskolin and amino acid depletion upon System A activity and SNAT expression in BeWocells. *Biochimie* 2006, 88:39–44.
- Sugawara M, Nakanishi T, Fei YJ, Huang W, Ganapathy ME, Leibach FH, Ganapathy V: Cloning of an amino acid transporter with functional characteristics and tissue expression pattern identical to that of system A. J Biol Chem 2000, 275:16473–16477.
- Varoqui H, Zhu H, Yao D, Ming H, Erickson JD: Cloning and functional identification of a neuronal glutamine transporter. J Biol Chem 2000, 275:4049–4054.
- Hatanaka T, Huang W, Ling R, Prasad PD, Sugawara M, Leibach FH, Ganapathy V: Evidence for the transport of neutral as well as cationic amino acids by ATA3, a novel and liver-specific subtype of amino acid transport system A. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2001, 1510:10–17.
- Liu K, Wang G, Ding H, Chen Y, Yu G, Wang J: Downregulation of metastasis suppressor 1(MTSS1) is associated with nodal metastasis and poor outcome in Chinese patients with gastric cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2010, 10:428.
- Yu G, Wang J, Chen Y, Wang X, Pan J, Li G, Jia Z, Li Q, Yao JC, Xie K: Overexpression of phosphorylated mammalian target of rapamycin predicts lymph node metastasis and prognosis of chinese patients with gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009, 15:1821–1829.
- Yu G, Wang J, Chen Y, Wang X, Pan J, Li Q, Xie K: Tissue microarray analysis reveals strong clinical evidence for a close association between loss of annexin A1 expression and nodal metastasis in gastric cancer. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2008, 25:695–702.
- Yu GZ, Chen Y, Wang JJ: Overexpression of Grb2/HER2 signaling in Chinese gastric cancer: their relationship with clinicopathological parameters and prognostic significance. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2009, 135:1331–1339.
- Yu WL, Cong WM, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Wang F, Yu G: Overexpression of ATA1/SLC38A1 predicts future recurrence and death in Chinese patients with hilarcholangiocarcinoma. J Surg Res 2011, 171:663–668.
- Peng Z, Wei D, Wang L, Tang H, Zhang J, Le X, Jia Z, Li Q, Xie K: RUNX3 inhibits the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and reduces the angiogenesis, growth, and metastasis of human gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006, 12:6386–6394.
- 18. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA: Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 2011, **144:**646–674.
- Yuneva M: Finding an "Achilles' heel" of cancer: the role of glucose and glutamine metabolism in the survival of transformed cells. *Cell Cycle* 2008, 7:2083–2089.

- Viallard V, Denis C, Trocheris V, Murat JC: Effect of glutamine deprivation and glutamate or ammonium chloride addition on growth rate, metabolism and differentiation of human colon cancer cell-line HT29. *Int J Biochem* 1986, 18:263–269.
- Medina MA, Sánchez-Jiménez F, Márquez J, Rodríguez-Quesada A, Nuñez-De-Castro I: Relevance of glutamine metabolism to tumor cell growth. *Mol Cell Biochem* 1992, 113:1–15.
- 22. DeBerardinis RJ, Cheng T: Q's next: the diverse functions of glutamine in metabolism, cell biology and cancer. *Oncogene* 2010, **29:**313–324.
- 23. Kaelin WG Jr, Thompson CB: Q&A: Cancer: clues from cell metabolism. Nature 2010, 465:562–564.
- 24. Weiss MD, Derazi S, Rossignol C, Varoqui H, Erickson JD, Kilberg MS, Anderson KJ: **Ontogeny of the neutral amino acid transporter SAT1/ATA1 in rat brain**. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* 2003, **143**:151–159.
- 25. Berezov TT, Aptekar LS, Zinevich AK: Specificity of glutamine metabolism in pre-tumorous diseases and cancer of the human stomach. *Vopr Med Khim* 1977, 23:555–558.
- Ogura M, Takarada T, Nakamichi N, Kawagoe H, Sako A, Nakazato R, Yoneda Y: Exacerbated vulnerability to oxidative stress in astrocytic C6 glioma cells with stable overexpression of the glutamine transporter slc38a1. Neurochem Int 2011, 58:504–511.
- Bhat HK, Vadgama JV: Role of estrogen receptor in the regulation of estrogen induced amino acid transport of System A in breast cancer and other receptor positive tumor cells. Int J Mol Med 2002, 9:271–279
- Dudeck KL, Dudenhausen EE, Chiles TC, Fafournoux P, Kilberg MS: Evidence for inherent differences in the system A carrier from normal and transformed liver tissue. Differential inactivation and substrate protection in membrane vesicles and reconstituted proteoliposomes. *J Biol Chem* 1987, 262:12565–12569.
- Okada A, Takehara H, Yoshida K, Nishi M, Miyake H, Kita Y, Komi N: Increased aspartate and glutamate levels in both gastric and colon cancer tissues. *Tokushima J Exp Med* 1993, 40:19–25.
- 30. Medina MA: Glutamine and cancer. J Nutr 2001, 131:2539S–2542S.
- 31. Lu W, Pelicano H, Huang P: Cancer metabolism: is glutamine sweeter than glucose? *Cancer Cell* 2010, 18:199–200.
- 32. Wise DR, Thompson CB: Glutamine addiction: a new therapeutic target in cancer. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2010, **35**:427–433.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network: NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: gastric cancer, V.1.; 2012. Available at: http://www.nccn.org/ professionals/physician\_gls/f\_guidelines.asp#gastric.
- ZhanYQ SXW, Li W, Chen YB, Xu L, Guan YX, Li YF, Xu DZ: Multivariate prognostic analysis in gastric carcinoma patients after radical operation. *Ai Zheng* 2005, 24(5):596–599.
- Victorzon M, Nordling S, Haglund C, Lundin J, Roberts PJ: Expression of p53 protein as a prognostic factor in patients with gastric cancer. Eur J Cancer 1996, 32A:215–220.

#### doi:10.1186/1471-230X-14-70

**Cite this article as:** Xie *et al.*: **Overexpression of SLC38A1 is associated** with poorer prognosis in Chinese patients with gastric cancer. *BMC Gastroenterology* 2014 **14**:70.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit