

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Adhesion GPCRs are widely expressed throughout the subsections of the gastrointestinal tract

Luca Badiali^{1,2}, Jonathan Cedernaes¹, Pawel K Olszewski^{1,3}, Olof Nylander¹, Anna V Vergoni² and Helgi B Schiöth^{1*}

Abstract

Background: G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent one of the largest families of transmembrane receptors and the most common drug target. The *Adhesion* subfamily is the second largest one of GPCRs and its several members are known to mediate neural development and immune system functioning through cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. The distribution of these receptors has not been characterized in detail in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Here we present the first comprehensive anatomical profiling of mRNA expression of all 30 *Adhesion* GPCRs in the rat GI tract divided into twelve subsegments.

Methods: Using RT-qPCR, we studied the expression of *Adhesion* GPCRs in the esophagus, the corpus and antrum of the stomach, the proximal and distal parts of the duodenum, ileum, jejunum and colon, and the cecum.

Results: We found that twenty-one *Adhesion* GPCRs (70%) had a widespread (expressed in five or more segments) or ubiquitous (expressed in eleven or more segments) distribution, seven (23%) were restricted to a few segments of the GI tract and two were not expressed in any segment. Most notably, almost all Group III members were ubiquitously expressed, while the restricted expression was characteristic for the majority of group VII members, hinting at more specific/localized roles for some of these receptors.

Conclusions: Overall, the distribution of *Adhesion* GPCRs points to their important role in GI tract functioning and defines them as a potentially crucial target for pharmacological interventions.

Background

The superfamily of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) is one of the largest families of membrane bound proteins in the human genome [1] comprising about 800 members. Being involved in a high number of physiological functions, including development, neurotransmission, metabolism, reproduction, immune responses, and behavior, GPCRs act as receptors for a great number of different signals, both endogenous - amines, peptides, proteins, lipids, nucleotides, neurotransmitters - and sensory, as organic odorants, pheromones, tastes and photons. According to the phylogenetic analysis of the entire human GPCR repertoire, five subfamilies make up the GRAFS classification system: *Glutamate*, *Rhodopsin*, *Adhesion*, *Frizzled/Taste2* and *Secretin* [2]. The phylogenetic grouping of

Adhesion GPCRs, based on the 7TM regions, revealed that there are seven groups [3].

GPCRs are characterized by having seven α -helices that span the plasma membrane and form a receptor with a binding cavity for a ligand; the extracellular segment may also be able to bind a ligand. The main feature of the *Adhesion* family is the long N terminus with complex domain architecture which is thought to be highly glycosylated and form a rigid structure in the outer part of the protein. This extracellular portion contains the GPCR proteolytic site (GPS) and several various domains that can also be found in other proteins such as lectin, epidermal growth factor, olfactomedin, immunoglobulin, thrombospondin and cadherin domains [3]. The GPS domain is referred to as an intracellular cleavage motif, pivotal for the protein transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the membrane [4], while several other N terminal domains play important roles in the receptor-ligand binding as well as cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix adhesion [5]. Distinguishing themselves even more from

* Correspondence: helgi.schiioth@neuro.uu.se

¹Department of Neuroscience, Uppsala University, BMC, Uppsala SE 75124, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

other GPCRs, *Adhesion* GPCRs are genomically complex, each receptor having many isoforms [6], with multiple alternatively-spliceable introns and large genomic sizes, which makes them difficult to study [7]. Only a few members of *Adhesion* GPCRs have been demonstrated to interact with G proteins [8,9].

Beyond its evident role in digestion and adsorption, the gastrointestinal (GI) tract is involved in a variety of other physiological functions, such as endo- and exocrine secretion and immune responses [10-12]. Its autonomous neuronal network, referred to as the enteric nervous system or ENS, is also intimately linked with the brain in the brain-gut axis important for, among others, food intake regulation [13,14]. GPCRs in the GI tract are known to be involved in nutrient balancing [15-17] and regulation of the immune system [18,19]. In some cases their gross expression patterns in the GI tract have been established, but more subtle proximodistal variations in expression and their biological functions have yet to be determined [20]. Furthermore, dysfunction of GPCRs is already known to contribute to certain diseases affecting the GI tract. For example, *GPR49* overexpression has been related to increased incidence of human colon primary tumors [21], whereas overexpression of the *Adhesion* GPCR *CD97* has been correlated to colorectal cancer [22], rectal adenocarcinoma recurrence and metastasis [23], and gastric carcinoma [24].

Though about 85% of *Adhesion* GPCRs are still orphans or lack biological characterization (details about known functions are provided in [6]), EMR receptors have been shown to be involved in immune responses [25]: Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis are two of the main inflammatory bowel diseases affecting the GI tract, and there is a growing body of evidence indicating that the onset of these pathologies may be related to an immune system deregulation by the ENS or gut microbiota [11,12]. The characterization of immunologically important *Adhesion* GPCRs in the GI tract may therefore lead to a greater understanding of these pathological conditions. Profiling of the entire GI tract, accounting for intra-regional differences is important since proximodistal part of GI tract's anatomical regions can serve different roles or be selectively affected by diseases; e.g. bile acids and vitamin B12 adsorption occur in the distal ileum, Barrett's disease affects the distal esophagus [26], and gastric cancer occurs either proximally or distally [27]. Likewise, Crohn's disease more frequently affects the terminal ileum [28]. Knowledge of the proximodistal expression pattern may therefore indirectly facilitate pinpointing the function of *Adhesion* GPCR orphans.

We present here the first complete analysis of mRNA expression of all members of the *Adhesion* GPCRs subfamily throughout the entire rat GI tract, which was divided into twelve subsegments (as described previously

[29]). Using RT-qPCR with a validated range of house-keeping genes, we studied the expression in the esophagus, the corpus and the antrum of the stomach, the proximal and distal parts of the duodenum, jejunum, ileum and colon, and in the cecum.

Methods

Animal handling and tissue isolation

Three male Dark Agouti rats (Scanbur AB, Sweden) weighing approximately 200 grams, were kept under constant conditions (12 h dark/light cycle) at 21°C. The animals were fasted overnight but had free access to water before carrying out the experiment. The following morning the animals were intraperitoneally anesthetized with Na-5-ethyl-1-(1'-methyl-propyl)-2-thiobarbituric acid (Inactin®; 125 mg/kg b. wt). Body temperature was maintained at 37.5 ± 0.5°C through a temperature regulator controlling a heating pad. Thereafter, a tracheotomy was performed and a cannula (PE-200) was inserted to guarantee free airways. Following a midline incision to open the abdominal cavity, the following structures, about 5 to 10 mm in length, were localized and dissected (Figure 1): Distal esophagus (a few mm from the stomach); corpus of the stomach; antrum of the stomach; proximal (1 mm from the pylorus) and distal duodenum (4 cm from the pylorus); proximal (9 cm from the pylorus) and distal jejunum (19 cm from the pylorus); proximal (29 cm from pylorus) and distal ileum (2.5 cm from the ileocecal valve); cecum; proximal (5 cm from ileocecal valve) and distal colon (12 cm from the ileocecal valve). The whole GI tract wall was isolated for the RT-qPCR analysis.

After the operation, an intravenous bolus injection of a saturated KCl solution was used to euthanize the animals. All animal procedures followed the regulations and policies outlined by the Swedish Animal Protection Act and were approved by the Uppsala Ethic Committee.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis were performed as previously reported [30]. Briefly, tissue samples were homogenized by sonication in the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Sweden) using a Branson sonifier. Chloroform was added to the homogenate and centrifuged at 12000 g at 4°C for 15 minutes. The aqueous phase was collected and RNA precipitated with isopropanol. The pellets were washed with 75% ethanol, air dried and dissolved in RNase-free water. The DNase treatment was performed to remove DNA contamination: DNase I (Roche Diagnostics, Sweden) was added to the samples and incubated at 37°C for 4 h, followed by inactivation by heating to 75°C for 15 minutes. Absence of DNA contamination in the RNA samples was confirmed by PCR. Nanodrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Delaware, USA) was used to determine RNA concentration.




Figure 1 GI sectioning approximately indicating the different sections of the rat GI tract used for analysis. The GI tract was divided into twelve segments: the esophagus, the corpus and the antrum of the stomach, the proximal and distal parts of the duodenum, ileum, jejunum and colon, and the cecum.

Thereafter, cDNA was synthesized by priming with random hexamers and MMLV reverse transcriptase (GE Healthcare, Sweden). PCR was performed to confirm cDNA synthesis. An equal amount of the total cDNA from each of the three rats was pooled, and the pooled solution was used as the template material for RT-qPCR.

Primer design

Sequences for rat housekeeping genes and all known *Adhesion* GPCR gene sequences were downloaded from the GenBank. All primers were designed using Beacon Primer Design 7.0 (Premier Biosoft, USA) and positioned within TM regions of the *Adhesion* GPCRs. The primer sequences for rat *Adhesion* GPCRs and housekeeping genes are provided in additional material (see Additional file 1).

Quantitative real-time PCR

The RT-qPCR was performed using a MyiQ thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Sweden). Each RT-qPCR reaction, with a total volume of 20 μ l, contained cDNA synthesized from 25 ng of total RNA, 0.25 pmol/ μ l of each primer, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM NTP, SYBR Green (1:50000) (Invitrogen, USA) and 0.02 U/ μ l Taq DNA polymerase (Biotools, Spain). The reaction conditions were the following: initial denaturation at 95°C for 4 min, succeeded by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 55–62°C for 30 s (optimal annealing temperature) and 72°C for 30 s. This was followed by 81 cycles at 55°C for 10 s (increased by 0.5°C per cycle). All real-time PCR experiments were run in triplicates. A negative control for each primer pair and a

positive control with 25 ng of rat genomic DNA was included on each plate.

Data analysis and relative expression calculations

Bio-Rad iQ5 software v2.0 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Sweden) was used to process RT-qPCR data and obtain threshold cycle (Ct) values. Melting curves were analyzed to assure that only one product with the expected melting point was amplified and that this was separate from the negative control. LinRegPCR was used to calculate PCR efficiencies for each sample and Grubbs' test (GraphPad, USA) was applied to exclude any outliers when calculating the average PCR efficiency for each primer pair. The delta Ct method [31] was used to convert Ct values into relative quantities with the standard deviation, and the highest expression was normalized to 1. The GeNorm software [32] was used with results from the five most stable housekeeping genes to calculate normalization factors for each tissue to compensate for differences in cDNA quantity. Subsequently, the normalized quantities were calculated and maximum expression was set to 1: all relative expression values are shown as fold decrease with respect to the detected maximum expression (see Additional file 2).

Results

The rat *Adhesion* GPCR gene sequences were downloaded from GenBank (see Additional file 1) and 7TM regions were identified with the Conserved Domain Database [33]. We analyzed the expression of 30 *Adhesion* GPCR family members in the rat GI tract. The gut was divided into twelve different segments proceeding from the esophagus to the colon and each tissue was

isolated and used for RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis. The expression values of five housekeeping genes (*Histone protein 3b*, β -tubulin, β -actin, succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit A and cyclophilin) were used to calculate normalization factors for rat cDNA. Each reaction was run in triplicate and with a positive control (genomic DNA) to confirm the validity of the amplification process; relative expression values for rat Adhesion GPCRs are displayed as a fold decrease relative to the detected maximum expression, arbitrarily set at 1 (see Additional file 2).

A total of twelve GPCRs (40%) were found to be ubiquitous along the GI system (expressed in at least eleven segments – Figure 2), nine GPCRs (30%) had widespread expression (detected in at least five segments – Figure 3),

seven GPCRs (23%) had restricted expression (transcript found in no more than four segments – Figure 4) and two GPCRs were not detected in any segments. The ubiquitously expressed genes (Figure 2) consisted of two members from Group II, namely *GPR56* and *GPR97* (although neither was expressed in the esophagus), all but one (*EMR4*) of the members from Group III (*LEC1*, *LEC2*, *LEC3*, *ETL*, *EMR1*, *CD97*), two members from Group IV (*GPR124* and *GPR125*; the third member *GPR123* could not be detected in any segment), *GPR133* from Group V, and *GPR116* from Group VII.

Among genes with widespread expression (Figure 3), *BAI2* and *BAI3* displayed a very similar pattern, being detected in the antrum of the stomach and the proximal part of the duodenum, and from the distal jejunum to





Figure 2 GPCRs expressed ubiquitously along the GI tract. Each panel refers to one GPCR showing three pieces of information: group of belonging, N terminal moieties and relative expression in twelve segments of the GI tract. Expression levels are relative for each gene (maximal level of expression set to 1). Values are plotted as the mean \pm SD; n = 3. The phylogenetic grouping is based on the 7TM regions; the schematic representation of the domains in the N-termini as determined by RT-BLAST at NCBI is adapted from our previous work [3]. Abbreviations for N-termini moieties: GPS, GPCR proteolytic site; HBD, hormone-binding domain; EGF, epidermal growth factor; OLF, olfactomedin; GBL, galactose-binding lectin domain; LRR, leucine rich repeats; Ig, immunoglobulin; SEA, sperm protein, enterokinase, and agrin; glycosylation sites (NXS or NXT tripeptide sequences that conform to the consensus sequence for N-linked glycosylation) – shown as small circles attached to the N-termini stretches; E, esophagus; F, corpus of the stomach; A, antrum of the stomach; D1 and D2, proximal and distal parts of the duodenum; J1 and J2, proximal and distal parts of the jejunum; I1 and I2, proximal and distal parts of the ileum; C, cecum; K1 and K2, proximal and distal parts of the colon.




the colon. In comparison, *BAII* had limited expression, only being detectable in the distal ileum and colon. *GPR114* was found throughout the entire GI tract except for the esophagus and fundus of the stomach, while *GPR128* was expressed in the whole intestine, but not in the stomach or esophagus. *GPR112* was found in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum and proximal colon. *GPR64* was expressed only in the stomach and, to a lesser extent, in the distal ileum and colon. *CELSR1* and *CELSR2*, members of Group V, displayed a very similar pattern, being expressed in the stomach, colon and proximal jejunum, while *CELSR1* was also found in the esophagus. No expression of *CELSR3* could be detected. *EMR4* expression was restricted to the jejunum, ileum and colon. Out of the nine widespread *Adhesion* GPCRs, as many as four belong to Group II.

In total, seven genes had restricted expression (Figure 4), including the aforementioned *BAII*. Two genes were members of Group II: *VLGRI*, with increasing expression from the jejunum to the proximal part of ileum, and *GPR126*, with isolated expression in the ileum and colon. An expression pattern similar to that of the latter gene was also observed for *GPR110*, belonging to Group VII, while from the same group, *GPR111* and *GPR115* were only expressed in the esophagus, and *GPR113* expression was detected at a low level only in the proximal colon. Out of the seven genes with restricted expression, the majority (4 out of 7) thus belonged to Group VII.

Discussion

In this paper, we present the first comprehensive chart of the mRNA expression of all 30 *Adhesion* GPCRs in the



rat GI tract. We find that the majority of the GPCRs are expressed ubiquitously throughout the GI tract, highlighting their importance for GI tract physiology. At the same time, the restricted expression patterns of seven GPCRs suggest that these receptors may have specific functions in these parts of the GI tract and they in particular may constitute potential therapeutic targets. While two other studies have mapped GPCRs expression in the mouse gut [34,35] as well as one study

that mapped the *Adhesion* GPCRs expression distribution in the mouse and rat [36], in none of these studies has the GI system been divided into so many segments, using both proximal and distal subsegments of several GI tract regions. Based on our results we found that the *Adhesion* GPCRs could be divided into three categories: genes ubiquitously expressed, genes with widespread expression, and genes with restricted/specific expression.

Genes with ubiquitous expression

Twelve GPCRs (namely *GPR56*, *GPR97*, *LEC1*, *LEC2*, *LEC3*, *ETL*, *EMR1*, *CD97*, *GPR124*, *GPR125*, *GPR133* and *GPR116*) were detected in at least eleven segments along the GI tract (Figure 2). Being ubiquitously expressed, these genes may play important roles for normal GI tract functioning. Intriguingly though, most of these receptors still have no known functions. Among the few de-orphanized GPCRs, *GPR56* is known to be involved in the development of the testis [37], and CNS [38,39]. Similarly, *GPR56* might be involved in the development of the GI tract. Furthermore, overexpression of *GPR56* has been reported in esophageal squamous cells carcinomas (EECCs) and dysplastic tissues contrary to adjacent nonmalignant esophageal tissue [40]. As we found no esophageal expression in wild type animals, but high gastric (especially fundus) expression, our results lends further support to the conclusion by Sud et al. that *GPR56* is an interesting candidate as an early diagnostic marker in esophageal cancer.

CD55 and chondroitin sulphate have been described as ligands for CD97 leading to leukocyte activation [41]; lack of CD55 and CD97 resulted in decreased arthritis in mouse experimental models of rheumatoid arthritis [42]. *CD97* has also been implicated in the initiation and establishment of inflammatory processes, e.g. in multiple sclerosis [43]. Outside cells of the immune system, *CD97* is also expressed in smooth muscle cells [44,45]. Since we detected the transcript throughout the whole GI tract, probably also in associated immune cells, we suggest *CD97* might constitute a target in inflammatory bowel diseases, in particular Crohn's disease that is able to affect any region of the GI tract [46]. Interestingly, all but one of the members of the group III members were ubiquitously expressed, which could imply that this group of Adhesion GPCRs is of particular importance for GI tract functioning.

Genes with widespread expression

Nine genes (*BAI2*, *BAI3*, *GPR114*, *GPR128*, *GPR112*, *GPR64*, *EMR4*, *CELSR1* and *CELSR2*) were found to have widespread expression, that is, they were detected in more than five segments (Figure 3). *BAI2* and *BAI3*, belonging to the family of brain angiogenesis inhibitor (BAI), displayed very similar expression patterns. Therefore, they might serve similar roles, such as being involved in angiogenesis in the GI tract, which is pivotal in ischaemia and tumorigenesis, and which has recently been shown to be of importance for the development and perpetuation of IBD [47,48]. *GPR64* is known to interfere with fluid re-adsorption [49] and our results showed high expression of the *GPR64* transcript in the stomach but not in adjacent segments. We therefore speculate that *GPR64* might be important for water

homeostasis during the first digestive process in the stomach where water, together with mucus, HCl and pepsinogen, are secreted.

From the *CELSR* family, *CELSR2* and *CELSR3* are known to be distributed mainly in CNS and to regulate neural development [50]. In contrast, *CELSR1* has been described in lungs, involved in spatial development and branching morphogenesis [51]. Given that *CELSR1* and *CELSR2* had such limited but very similar expression in the GI tract, we hypothesize that these two genes might control the reciprocal signaling interactions between the epithelium and mesenchyme during morphogenesis of gastric epithelia and their expression is maintained also in the adult stage. *GPR112* has been reported in the dominant neuroendocrine cells of the GI tract, the enterochromaffin (EC) cells, in the normal mucosa of the human ileum, and also as a potential target for GI neuroendocrine carcinomas [52]. We detected its transcript in the duodenum - where EC cells display the highest expression [53] - jejunum, ileum and proximal colon: our finding is in good agreement with the work of Ito et al. [35]. *GPR112* could be involved in serotonin release or participate in the nutrient sensing functions of EC cells [54].

Genes with restricted expression

Seven GPCRs (*BAI1*, *VLGRI*, *GPR126*, *GPR110*, *GPR113*, *GPR111* and *GPR115*) were found to be expressed in fewer than five segments (Figure 4), each showing highly specific and varying expression patterns. *BAI1* is known to be involved in angiogenesis, tumor formation [55] and host responses to Gram-negative infections [56], which include severe ICU-acquired infections [57,58]. Given the restricted expression in the colon and ileum, this receptor may play a role in tumor development in the intestine and in defense mechanisms against Gram-negative bacteria in the lower GI tract. *GPR126* has been reported to play an essential role for peripheral nerve development and myelination in mammals [59]; its limited expression in the ileum and colon could suggest an involvement in myelination of neurons of the myenteric plexuses crucial for GI motility, or submucosal plexuses, which regulate luminal and epithelial cell function. Mutations on *VLGRI* are known to underlie human Usher syndrome type II [60]. The complex extracellular domain of the *VLGRI* receptor, with a Calx- β cation binding motif, has led to a suggested role in the sensing of Ca^{2+} [61]. Given that *VLGRI* expression was restricted to the jejunum and proximal ileum, one might hypothesize that *VLGRI* participates in Ca^{2+} -sensing in the small intestine. *GPR110* has been recently shown to be an oncogene in murine T lymphomas and a marker for lung and prostate cancer [62]. The receptor is orphan, and since the expression was restricted to the ileum and

colon, *GPR110* could potentially play a role in sensing nutrients or in malignancies, such as Coeliac disease-associated T lymphomas [63] or cancer of the small or large intestine.

There were consistent differences in the expression between the proximal and distal part of the same segment for a given gene, as shown in Figure 5, which highlights GPCRs highly expressed in specific subsegments of the GI tract. For example, *VLGR1* had its highest expression in the proximal ileum, but it was not detected in the distal segment (Figure 4). This observation is in accordance with our previous work [29], describing notable proximodistal differences in solute carrier profiling in the GI tract. Our results therefore further support the rationale of analyzing each segment not as a homogenous

entity but taking into account proximodistal differences. It is worth noting that members of the same group, e.g. Group II members *GPR114* and *GPR64* or Group VII members *GPR111* and *GPR113*, are expressed in different segments, thus they might regulate different functions.

It should be also noted that the direct comparison between our findings and previous studies may not always be possible, mainly due to the species used (mouse vs rat) and dissection differences, as other authors [35] analyzed the mucosa and muscle separately without taking into account the proximodistal subsegmentation of the GI tract. Our results are however consistent with the result previously obtained by our group [36]: genes that had been identified as being expressed along the GI tract had their expression pattern confirmed, though in greater detail. Examples of these genes include *GPR133*, *GPR124*, *GPR125* and all members of Group III. In line with the earlier report, we did not detect *GPR112*, *GPR126* or *GPR128* in the stomach. Even so, there are some discrepancies, for example *CELSR2* (in contrast to *CELSR1*) was not detected by Haitina and coworkers, whereas herein we detected both *CELSR* receptors, identifying a very similar pattern (Figure 3). This can be explained by considering that a relatively low gene expression in the GI tract relative to other tissue types could have prompted a conclusion that the expression level in the gut is negligible. Compared to the findings by Ito et al., we found no expression of either *BAI1* or *GPR126* in the upper intestine – this may be due to the different species used [35]. It should be emphasized, however, that for many other GPCRs such as *BAI2*, *BAI3*, *CD97*, *GPR111* and *GPR112*, our results confirm and refine the expression patterns found by the aforementioned group. The results might have been somewhat affected by the timing of the experiments or other conditions, such as an overnight fast. Furthermore, mRNA expression does not always correspond to protein expression [64,65]. Finally, GPCRs are known to undergo extensive alternative splicing [3] that generates multiple transcripts including soluble forms: primers used in this study are located in the 7TM regions and thus might not cover the entire transcript population.




Figure 5 GPCRs whose high expression is associated with specific subsegments of the GI tract. The figure shows GPCRs whose levels were found to be markedly higher in a given segment relative to the expression level in other adjacent segments. For each region, two or three genes with the highest regionally specific expression levels are shown. To better illustrate the extent to which a given gene is highly expressed in a subsegment-specific manner compared with adjacent segments, a three-level system was adopted using the * symbol, assigning none, one or two symbols to each gene. The greater the deviation in expression level is from the surrounding expression pattern, the more asterisks have been assigned for a given gene. It should be noted that the distal duodenum and the cecum are not shown in the figure since no region-specific high gene expression levels were found for these two segments.

Conclusions

Taken together these results indicate that, compared to solute carriers, *Adhesion* GPCRs display more restricted expression patterns in the GI tract [29], suggesting that *Adhesion* GPCRs play a more specific role in the GI tract, a role that could be important both for physiological or pathological conditions. This notion is further strengthened by the fact that *Adhesion* GPCRs are known to be restricted to certain cell types and to be highly regulated in their expression, likely serving distinct physiological functions [6]. We should therefore consider that GPCRs

represent an unexploited potential for drug targeting [66]. However, given that GPCRs constitute the most common drug target (36%), but less than 82 distinct GPCRs are targeted, this clearly highlights the importance of exploring *Adhesion* GPCRs as potential drug targets.

In summary, our study shows that about 70% of the rat *Adhesion* GPCRs display a widespread or ubiquitous distribution while about a quarter of the studied receptors had limited expression in the GI tract. Their extensive distribution suggests a fundamental role of this receptor family.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Primers used for real-time PCR analysis. The table includes gene names for rat (*r*) *Adhesion* GPCRs and house-keeping genes (*), GenBank accession numbers, primer sequences and the expected size of the PCR products. NA – not available.

Additional file 2: Summary of relative expression of all *Adhesion* GPCR members. Expression levels relative for each gene (maximal level of expression set to 1; n.d., not detected after 40 cycles of the PCR). The phylogenetic grouping is based on the 7TM regions [3]. *GPR111*, *GPR113*, *GPR115* were only expressed in one sub-segment: the expression value was arbitrarily set to 1 to indicate the presence of expression. *GPR133* and *CELSR3* could not be detected in any segment.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LB carried out the RT-qPCR study, performed the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. JC participated in the design of the study and help to draft the manuscript. PKO participated in the design of the study and help to draft the manuscript. ON carried out histological dissections and tissue preparation. AVW participated in the design and coordination of the study. HBS conceived the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The studies were supported by the Swedish Research Council and the Novo Nordisk Foundation. We thank Mathias Rask-Andersen who provided valuable discussion on RT-qPCR analysis.

Author details

¹Department of Neuroscience, Uppsala University, BMC, Uppsala SE 75124, Sweden. ²Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Campi, 41125 Modena, Italy. ³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand.

Received: 17 February 2012 Accepted: 31 August 2012

Published: 25 September 2012

References

- Lagerström MC, Schiöth HB: Structural diversity of G protein-coupled receptors and significance for drug discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2008, **7**(4):339–357.
- Fredriksson R, Lagerström MC, Lundin L-G: The G-protein-coupled receptors in the human genome form five main families. Phylogenetic analysis, paralogon groups, and fingerprints. *Mol Pharmacol* 2003, **63**(6):1256–1272.
- Bjarnadóttir TK, Fredriksson R, Schiöth HB: The adhesion GPCRs: a unique family of G protein-coupled receptors with important roles in both central and peripheral tissues. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2007, **64**(16):2104–2119.
- Lin H-H, Chang G-W, Davies JQ, Stacey M, Harris J, Gordon S: Autocatalytic cleavage of the EMR2 receptor occurs at a conserved G protein-coupled receptor proteolytic site motif. *J Biol Chem* 2004, **279**(30):31823–31832.
- Krasnoperov V, Bittner MA, Holz RW, Chepurny O, Petrenko AG: Structural requirements for alpha-latrotoxin binding and alpha-latrotoxin-stimulated secretion. A study with calcium-independent receptor of alpha-latrotoxin (CIRL) deletion mutants. *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**(6):3590–3596.
- Yona S, Lin H-H, Siu WO, Gordon S, Stacey M: Adhesion-GPCRs: emerging roles for novel receptors. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2008, **33**(10):491–500.
- Bjarnadóttir TK, Geirardsdóttir K, Ingemansson M, Mirza MA, Fredriksson R, Schiöth HB: Identification of novel splice variants of Adhesion G protein-coupled receptors. *Gene* 2007, **387**(1–2):38–48.
- Lelianova VG, Davletov BA, Sterling A, Rahman MA, Grishin EV, Totty NF, Ushkaryov YA: Alpha-latrotoxin receptor, latrophilin, is a novel member of the secretin family of G protein-coupled receptors. *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**(34):21504–21508.
- Little KD, Hemler ME, Stipp CS: Dynamic regulation of a GPCR-tetraspanin-G protein complex on intact cells: central role of CD81 in facilitating GPR56-Galpha q/11 association. *Mol Biol Cell* 2004, **15**(5):2375–2387.
- Sanger GJ, Lee K: Hormones of the gut-brain axis as targets for the treatment of upper gastrointestinal disorders. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2008, **7**(3):241–254.
- Gross KJ, Pothoulakis C: Role of neuropeptides in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2007, **13**(7):918–932.
- Round JL, Mazmanian SK: The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2009, **9**(5):313–323.
- Wren AM, Bloom SR: Gut hormones and appetite control. *Gastroenterology* 2007, **132**(6):2116–2130.
- Konturek SJ, Konturek JW, Pawlik T, Brzozowski T: Brain-gut axis and its role in the control of food intake. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 2004, **55**(1 Pt 2):137–154.
- Engelstoft MS, Egerod KL, Holst B, Schwartz TW: A gut feeling for obesity: 7TM sensors on enteroendocrine cells. *Cell Metab* 2008, **8**(6):447–449.
- Rayasam GV, Tulasi VK, Davis JA, Bansal VS: Fatty acid receptors as new therapeutic targets for diabetes. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2007, **11**(5):661–671.
- Tazoe H, Otomo Y, Kaji I, Tanaka R, Karaki SI, Kuwahara A: Roles of short-chain fatty acids receptors, GPR41 and GPR43 on colonic functions. *J Physiol Pharmacol* 2008, **59**(Suppl 2):251–262.
- Zabel BA, Agace WW, Campbell JJ, Heath HM, Parent D, Roberts AI, Ebert EC, Kassam N, Qin S, Zovko M, et al: Human G protein-coupled receptor GPR9-6/CC chemokine receptor 9 is selectively expressed on intestinal homing T lymphocytes, mucosal lymphocytes, and thymocytes and is required for thymus-expressed chemokine-mediated chemotaxis. *J Exp Med* 1999, **190**(9):1241–1256.
- Jarmin DI, Rits M, Bota D, Gerard NP, Graham GJ, Clark-Lewis I, Gerard C: Cutting edge: identification of the orphan receptor G-protein-coupled receptor 2 as CCR10, a specific receptor for the chemokine ESKine. *J Immunol* 2000, **164**(7):3460–3464. Baltimore, Md: 1950.
- Isensee J, Meoli L, Zazzu V, Nabzdyk C, Witt H, Soewarto D, Effertz K, Fuchs H, Gailus-Durner V, Busch D, et al: Expression pattern of G protein-coupled receptor 30 in LacZ reporter mice. *Endocrinology* 2009, **150**(4):1722–1730.
- McClanahan T, Koseoglu S, Smith K, Grein J, Gustafson E, Black S, Kirschmeier P, Samatar AA: Identification of overexpression of orphan G protein-coupled receptor GPR49 in human colon and ovarian primary tumors. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2006, **5**(4):419–426.
- Wobus M, Huber O, Hamann J, Aust G: CD97 overexpression in tumor cells at the invasion front in colorectal cancer (CC) is independently regulated of the canonical Wnt pathway. *Mol Carcinog* 2006, **45**(11):881–886.
- Han S-L, Xu C, Wu X-L, Li J-L, Liu Z, Zeng Q-Q: The impact of expressions of CD97 and its ligand CD55 at the invasion front on prognosis of rectal adenocarcinoma. *Int J Color Dis* 2010, **25**(6):695–702.
- Liu D, Trojanowicz B, Radestock Y, Fu T, Hammje K, Chen L, Hoang-Vu C: Role of CD97 isoforms in gastric carcinoma. *Int J Oncol* 2010, **36**(6):1401–1408.
- Yona S, Lin H-H, Dri P, Davies JQ, Hayhoe RPG, Lewis SM, Heinsbroek SEM, Brown KA, Perretti M, Hamann J, et al: Ligation of the adhesion-GPCR EMR2 regulates human neutrophil function. *FASEB J* 2008, **22**(3):741–751.

26. Guillem PG: How to make a Barrett esophagus: pathophysiology of columnar metaplasia of the esophagus. *Dig Dis Sci* 2005, **50**(3):415–424.
27. Crew KD, Neugut AI: Epidemiology of gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006, **12**(3):354–362.
28. Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK: Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* 2007, **448**(7152):427–434.
29. Cedernaes J, Olszewski PK, Almén MS, Stephansson O, Levine AS, Fredriksson R, Nylander O, Schiöth HB: Comprehensive analysis of localization of 78 solute carrier genes throughout the subsections of the rat gastrointestinal tract. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2011, **411**(4):702–707.
30. Sreedharan S, Shaik JHA, Olszewski PK, Levine AS, Schiöth HB, Fredriksson R: Glutamate, aspartate and nucleotide transporters in the SLC17 family form four main phylogenetic clusters: evolution and tissue expression. *BMC Genomics* 2010, **11**:17–17.
31. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁻(Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 2001, **25**(4):402–408. San Diego, Calif.
32. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F: Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol* 2002, **3**(7):RESEARCH0034–RESEARCH0034.
33. Marchler-Bauer A, Panchenko AR, Shoemaker BA, Thiessen PA, Geer LY, Bryant SH: CDD: a database of conserved domain alignments with links to domain three-dimensional structure. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002, **30**(1):281–283.
34. Regard JB, Sato IT, Coughlin SR: Anatomical profiling of G protein-coupled receptor expression. *Cell* 2008, **135**(3):561–571.
35. Ito J, Ito M, Nambu H, Fujikawa T, Tanaka K, Iwaasa H, Tokita S: Anatomical and histological profiling of orphan G-protein-coupled receptor expression in gastrointestinal tract of C57BL/6 J mice. *Cell Tissue Res* 2009, **338**(2):257–269.
36. Haitina T, Olsson F, Stephansson O, Alsiö J, Roman E, Ebendal T, Schiöth HB, Fredriksson R: Expression profile of the entire family of Adhesion G protein-coupled receptors in mouse and rat. *BMC Neurosci* 2008, **9**:43–43.
37. Chen G, Yang L, Begum S, Xu L: GPR56 is essential for testis development and male fertility in mice. *Dev Dyn* 2010, **239**(12):3358–3367.
38. Iguchi T, Sakata K, Yoshizaki K, Tago K, Mizuno N, Itoh H: Orphan G Protein-coupled Receptor GPR56 Regulates Neural Progenitor Cell Migration via a Gα12/13 and Rho Pathway. *J Biol Chem* 2008, **283**(21):14469–14478.
39. Koirala S, Jin Z, Piao X, Corfas G: GPR56-Regulated Granule Cell Adhesion Is Essential for Rostral Cerebellar Development. *J Neurosci* 2009, **29**(23):7439–7449.
40. Sud N, Sharma R, Ray R, Chattopadhyay TK, Ralhan R: Differential expression of G-protein coupled receptor 56 in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 2006, **233**(2):265–270.
41. Hamann J, Vogel B, van Schijndel GM, van Lier RA: The seven-span transmembrane receptor CD97 has a cellular ligand (CD55, DAF). *J Exp Med* 1996, **184**(3):1185–1189.
42. Hoek RM, de Launay D, Kop EN, Yilmaz-Elis AS, Lin F, Reedquist KA, Verbeek JS, Medof ME, Tak PP, Hamann J: Deletion of either CD55 or CD97 ameliorates arthritis in mouse models. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010, **62**(4):1036–1042.
43. Visser L, de Vos AF, Hamann J, Melief MJ, van Meurs M, van Lier RA, Laman JD, Hintzen RQ: Expression of the EGF-TM7 receptor CD97 and its ligand CD55 (DAF) in multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol* 2002, **132**(1–2):156–163.
44. Jaspars LH, Vos W, Aust G, Van Lier RA, Hamann J: Tissue distribution of the human CD97 EGF-TM7 receptor. *Tissue Antigens* 2001, **57**(4):325–331.
45. Veninga H, Becker S, Hoek RM, Wobus M, Wandel E, van der Kaa J, van der Valk M, de Vos AF, Haase H, Owens B, et al: Analysis of CD97 expression and manipulation: antibody treatment but not gene targeting curtails granulocyte migration. *J Immunol* 2008, **181**(9):6574–6583. Baltimore, Md: 1950.
46. van Hogezaand RA, Witte AM, Veenendaal RA, Wagtmans MJ, Lamers CB: Proximal Crohn's disease: review of the clinicopathologic features and therapy. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2001, **7**(4):328–337.
47. Danese S, Sans M, de la Motte C, Graziani C, West G, Phillips MH, Pola R, Rutella S, Willis J, Gasbarrini A, et al: Angiogenesis as a novel component of inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 2006, **130**(7):2060–2073.
48. Koutroubakis IE, Tsiolakidou G, Karmiris K, Kouroumalis EA: Role of angiogenesis in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2006, **12**(6):515–523.
49. Davies B, Behnen M, Cappallo-Obermann H, Spiess A-N, Theuring F, Kirchhoff C: Novel epididymis-specific mRNAs downregulated by HE6/Gpr64 receptor gene disruption. *Mol Reprod Dev* 2007, **74**(5):539–553.
50. Tissir F, Qu Y, Montcouquiol M, Zhou L, Komatsu K, Shi D, Fujimori T, Labeau J, Tyteca D, Courtoy P, et al: Lack of cadherins Celsr2 and Celsr3 impairs ependymal ciliogenesis, leading to fatal hydrocephalus. *Nat Neurosci* 2010, **13**(6):700–707.
51. Yates LL, Schnatwinkel C, Murdoch JN, Bogani D, Formstone CJ, Townsend S, Greenfield A, Niswander LA, Dean CH: The PCP genes *Celsr1* and *Vangl2* are required for normal lung branching morphogenesis. *Hum Mol Genet* 2010, **19**(11):2251–2267.
52. Leja J, Essaghir A, Essand M, Wester K, Oberg K, Tötterman TH, Lloyd R, Vasmataz G, Demoulin J-B, Giandomenico V: Novel markers for enterochromaffin cells and gastrointestinal neuroendocrine carcinomas. *Mod Pathol* 2009, **22**(2):261–272.
53. Spiller R: Serotonin and GI clinical disorders. *Neuropharmacology* 2008, **55**(6):1072–1080.
54. Kidd M, Modlin IM, Gustafsson BI, Drozdov I, Hauso O, Pfragner R: Luminal regulation of normal and neoplastic human EC cell serotonin release is mediated by bile salts, amines, tastants, and olfactants. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2008, **295**(2):G260–272.
55. Kang X, Xiao X, Harata M, Bai Y, Nakazaki Y, Soda Y, Kurita R, Tanaka T, Komine F, Izawa K, et al: Antiangiogenic activity of BAI1 in vivo: implications for gene therapy of human glioblastomas. *Cancer Gene Ther* 2006, **13**(4):385–392.
56. Das S, Owen KA, Ly KT, Park D, Black SG, Wilson JM, Sifri CD, Ravichandran KS, Ernst PB, Casanova JE: Brain angiogenesis inhibitor 1 (BAI1) is a pattern recognition receptor that mediates macrophage binding and engulfment of Gram-negative bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2011, **108**(5):2136–2141.
57. Oostdijk EA, de Smet AM, Kesecioglu J, Bonten MJ: The role of intestinal colonization with gram-negative bacteria as a source for intensive care unit-acquired bacteremia. *Crit Care Med* 2011, **39**(5):961–966.
58. Peleg AY, Hooper DC: Hospital-acquired infections due to gram-negative bacteria. *N Engl J Med* 2010, **362**(19):1804–1813.
59. Monk KR, Oshima K, Jörs S, Heller S, Talbot WS: Gpr126 is essential for peripheral nerve development and myelination in mammals. *Development* 2011, **138**(13):2673–2680. Cambridge, England.
60. Ebermann I, Wiesen MHJ, Zrenner E, Lopez I, Pigeon R, Kohl S, Löwenheim H, Koeneke RK, Bolz HJ: GPR98 mutations cause Usher syndrome type 2 in males. *J Med Genet* 2009, **46**(4):277–280.
61. Nikkila H, McMillan DR, Nunez BS, Pascoe L, Curnow KM, White PC: Sequence similarities between a novel putative G protein-coupled receptor and Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchangers define a cation binding domain. *Mol Endocrinol* 2000, **14**(9):1351–1364. Baltimore, Md.
62. Lum AM, Wang BB, Beck-Engeser GB, Li L, Channa N, Wabl M: Orphan receptor GPR110, an oncogene overexpressed in lung and prostate cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2010, **10**:40–40.
63. Smedby KE, Akerman M, Hildebrand H, Glimelius B, Ekbohm A, Askling J: Malignant lymphomas in coeliac disease: evidence of increased risks for lymphoma types other than enteropathy-type T cell lymphoma. *Gut* 2005, **54**(1):54–59.
64. Greenbaum D, Colangelo C, Williams K, Gerstein M: Comparing protein abundance and mRNA expression levels on a genomic scale. *Genome Biol* 2003, **4**(9):117.
65. Kislinger T, Cox B, Kannan A, Chung C, Hu P, Ignatchenko A, Scott MS, Gramolini AO, Morris Q, Hallett MT, et al: Global survey of organ and organelle protein expression in mouse: combined proteomic and transcriptomic profiling. *Cell* 2006, **125**(1):173–186.
66. Rask-Andersen M, Almén MS, Schiöth HB: Trends in the exploitation of novel drug targets. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2011, **10**(8):579–590.

doi:10.1186/1471-230X-12-134

Cite this article as: Badiali et al.: Adhesion GPCRs are widely expressed throughout the subsections of the gastrointestinal tract. *BMC Gastroenterology* 2012 **12**:134.