

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

High APACHE II score and long length of bowel resection impair the outcomes in patients with necrotic bowel induced hepatic portal venous gas

Jin-Ming Wu¹, Ming-Shian Tsai², Ming-Tsan Lin¹, Yu-Wen Tien¹, Tzu-Hsin Lin^{3*}

Abstract

Background: Hepatic portal venous gas (HPVG) is a rare but potentially lethal condition, especially when it results from intestinal ischemia. Since the literatures regarding the prognostic factors of HPVG are still scarce, we aimed to investigate the risk factor of perioperative mortality in this study.

Methods: We analyzed data for patients with intestinal ischemia induced HPVG by chart review in our hospital between 2000 and 2007. Factors associated with perioperative mortality were specifically analyzed.

Results: There were 22 consecutive patients receiving definite bowel resection. 13 cases (59.1%) died after surgical intervention. When analyzing the mortality in patients after bowel resections, high Acute Physiology And Chronic health Evaluation (APACHE) II score (p < 0.01) and longer length of bowel resection (p = 0.047) were significantly associated with mortality in univariate analyses. The complication rate was 66.7% in alive patients after definite bowel resection.

Conclusions: Bowel resection was the only potential life-saving therapy for patients with mesenteric ischemia induced HPVG. High APACHE II score and severity of underlying necrotic bowel determined the results in patients after bowel resection.

Backgrounds

Hepatic portal venous gas (HPVG) was initially reported in neonates with necrotizing enterocolitis in 1955 [1], and subsequently in adults with small bowel infarction [2]. HPVG has been associated with necrotic bowel, Crohn's disease [3], diverticulitis [4], small bowel obstruction [5], and perforating gastric ulcer [5], as well as with iatrogenic conditions such as colonoscopic procedures or barium enema [6,7]. However, the pathogenesis of HPVG is not well understood. In patients with intestinal necrosis induced HPVG, the outcome is usually grave, with mortality being higher than 75% [8]. Until now, the single report that investigated the necrotic bowel inducing hepatic portal venous gas contained less than ten patients [9,10]

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Methods

Between January 2000 and December 2007, 22 consecutive patients that presented on computed tomography (CT) scans with HPVG caused by small- or large-bowel ischemia and received definite bowel resection, were retrospectively reviewed. The diagnosis of HPVG was based on the CT images, which have been interpreted by experienced radiologists. The HPVG was considered associated with bowel ischemia when the patients had clinical presentation of septic shock and peritoneal sign. Furthermore, the CT scans with intravenous contrast enhancement revealed some poorly enhanced bowel loops and/or pneumatosis intestinalis, supporting the diagnosis of intestinal necrosis. Six cases received medical treatment only because of profound shock and multiple organ failures. All patients in our study had the pathological diagnosis of necrotic bowels. The demographic data was recorded. Clinical findings were classified as abdominal pain, fever (body temperature >38.0 degrees Celsius), shock, and disease severity (evaluated by the Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation



^{*} Correspondence: jsl555@gmail.com

³Department of Traumatology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei,

[APACHE] II score). Preoperative laboratory findings were recorded. All blood test results were collected from the available last findings prior to surgery. Surgical findings were recorded as: (1) intestinal necrosis involving small bowel only, large bowel only, or both (2) the total length of bowel resection (cm). The study was performed with the approval of the ethics committee of the National Taiwan university Hospital (Reference number: 201101031RC).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS statistical software (Version 9.1.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A.) and the S-PLUS statistical software (Version 6.2.1, Insightful Corporation, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.) The associations between categorical variables were examined by chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, whereas the differences in the means of continuous variables were analyzed by Student's independent two-sample t test.

Results

We did analysis of mortality to the 22 patients who underwent bowel resection. Descriptive analyses of demographic and clinical characteristics and outcomes between the two groups can be compared in Table 1. Among the 22 subjects, there were 11 male (50.0%) and 11 female (50.0%) patients. The mean age was 68.9 years. There were 6 heavy-smokers. There were 11 hypertension, 10 diabetes mellitus, and 8 chronic renal failure patients, respectively. With regards to clinical findings, all patients had abdominal pain, 13 had fever, and 17 had shock, respectively. The mean scores of APACHE II were 11.9 in surviving patients, and 14.3 in non-survivors. The APACHE II score was significantly lower in surviving patients than in non-survivors (p <0.01).

In addition to HPVG in CT, 19 of the 22 patients had pneumatosis intestinalis. Table 2 describes the surgical findings and results. Regarding the location of necrotic bowels, 21 cases involved the small intestine, 8 involved the large intestine, 7 involved both the large and small bowels, and 1 involved large bowel only. All cases received resection of ischemic bowel and end stoma. There were 14 small bowel resection, 4 small bowel resection plus right hemicolectomy, 3 small bowel resection plus total colectomy, and 1 subtotal colectomy in our study. The mean length of bowel resection was 215.8 cm. The mean length of bowel resection was significantly shorter in survivor cases than in non-survivors (P = 0.047).

There were 13 perioperative deaths (59.1%) among all 22 patients and all died from multi-organ failure and septic shock. 6 postoperative complications (66.7%) were observed in 9 surviving patients. The most common complications were 2 cases of pneumonia, 2 cases of

Table 1 The characteristics, clinical, laboratory, surgical findings, and results

Variable	All patients (n = 22)	Survivors (n = 9)	Non- survivors (n = 13)	P#
Male:female ratio	11:11	4:5	7:6	0.999
Age (years) (mean ± SD)	68.9 ± 17.6	71.0 ± 8.9	67.4 ± 21.9	0.646
Smoking history	6	3	3	0.655
Comorbidity:	17	6	11	0.609
Atrial fibrillation	5	2	3	0.999
Coronary arterial disease	5	1	4	0.360
Hypertension Diabetes	11	4	7	0.999
mellitus Chronic renal	10	3	7	0.415
failure	8	4	4	0.662
COPD	6	3	3	0.415
Cancer Clinical presentations:	3	1	2	0.999
Fever	13	5	8	0.999
Shock	17	5	12	0.253
APACHE score	18.6 ± 3.8	15.1 ± 2.8	19.9 ± 3.2	< 0.01
Abdominal pain	22	9	13	0.999
Image findings				
Pneumatosis intestinalis	19	7	12	0.544
Laboratory findings				
Hb (g/dl)		12.5 ± 2.1	11.3 ± 1.8	0.165
WBC (x $10^3/\mu$ l)		13677 ± 11521	14149 ± 6353	0.903
Platelet (x10 ³ /μl)		181.9 ± 120.4	144.7 ± 100.8	0.441
PT (sec)		15.3 ± 2.8	18.2 ± 7.6	0.244
aPTT (sec)		39.6 ± 11.4	41.5 ± 13.3	0.745
Glucose (mg/dl)		239.6 ± 94.0	173.8 ± 63.3	0.085
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)		1.1 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 2.0	0.099
Urea (mg/dl)		48.2 ± 28.1	48.9 ± 25.4	0.947
Creatinine (mg/dl)		2.8 ± 1.7	2.7 ± 2.0	0.898
Sodium (mmol/L)		136.9 ± 7.3	133.2 ± 7.8	0.270
Potassium (mmol/L)		4.0 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 1.0	0.110
Arterial pH		7.4 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.1	0.477
Bicarbonate (mmol/L)		18.0 ± 5.9	17.6 ± 5.3	0.867
Surgical results				
Morbidity	NA	6	NA	NA
Mortality	13	0	13	

NA: no data available

Data are mean (SD) or number unless otherwise indicated.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

wound infections requiring further debridement, and 2 cases of acute renal failure.

Discussion

Three mechanisms of HPVG formation have been proposed: (a). mucosal damage and lumenal gas escape to

Table 2 Compare the surgical findings and results

Variable	All patients (n = 22)	Survivors (n = 9)	Non-survivors (n = 13)	Р
Surgical findings				
Length of bowel resection (cm)	215.8 ± 123.5	162.7 ± 133.9	284 ± 67.5	0.047
Only small bowel involved	14	7	7	0.380
Both small & large bowels involved	7	1	6	0.165
Only large bowel involved	1	1	0	0.490
Method of operation				
Only small bowel resection	14	7	7	
Small bowel resection + right hemicolectomy	4	1	3	
Small bowel resection + total colectomy	3	0	3	
Subtotal colectomy	1	1	0	
Morbidity	NA	6	NA	NA
Pneumonia		2		
Deep wound infection		2		
Acute renal failure		2		

the portal system via portal microvenule, (b). septic embolization or abscess that rupture through the small portal venules, (c). gas forming portal pyemia [8,9]. Other less important factors are increased lumenal pressure such as during colonoscopy or large bowel enema which are associated with HPVG. The first two theories are using principles in Physics, which directs gas passing from breaks of intestinal mucosa into the mesenteric venous system. The third theory focuses on a biological phenomenon of bacteremia causing sepsis, and finally all gas that formed in the mesentery is accumulated in the hepatic portal venous system and becomes a significant finding in image studies.

In our study, high APACHEII score was significantly associated with poor prognosis. One report [11] addressed that APACHE II score was a prognostic factor in patients with ischemic bowel. The other [12] had similar findings in patients who develop acute abdominal complications in a medical intensive care unit. APACHE II score is designed to measure the severity of disease for adult patients admitted to intensive care units. Although patients suffering from necrotic bowels induced HPVG often had poor prognosis, APACHE II score may be a useful prognostic tool for physician to judge the results.

In this study, longer length of bowel resection was associated with poorer prognosis that implied the severity of underlying necrotic bowel. The surgeons may do a second-look operation for borderline ischemic bowel that could preserve extra bowel length in selected patients. According to our experiences, no patient received a second-look operation because the vital signs were very unstable. Surgeons preferred definite resection that may stop profound and proceeding shock. Otherwise, some cases need home total parenteral nutrition.

Definite bowel resection was the only life-saving way for theses patients. However, the complication rate in survivors was as high as 66.7%. In the past, HPVG was considered an "ominous finding" if associated with ischemic bowel disease. Recent reports on mortality in ischemic bowel disease with HPVG were described from 55% to 66.7% [13-15]. The reason of decreased mortality rates in these reports may be associated with early detection of CT scanograms and advancement of critical care. However, HPVG may just be a radiological finding when the underlying disease is benign. The patients recover uneventfully.

In our series, the mortality was lower. There may be several reasons. First, we arranged CT scanograms with contrast if acute mesenteric ischemia was suspected, especially in uncooperative patients. In our experience, some patients receiving major operation (cardiopulmonary bypass or craniectomy) could not resume their consciousness during perioperative courses and the abdominal presentation of ischemic bowel may be more subtle. Contrast enhanced CT scans may impair renal function but offer more abdominal information. The sensitivity and specificity rates of multi-detector row CT are 92-96% and 94-100%, respectively [16-18]. Second, it may be associated with early use of total parenteral nutrition (TPN). Patients could not gain the energy through the enteral way after major bowel resection and shock status. As a result, we started TPN support as soon as possible and supplement of albumin when the value was less than 3.0 mg/dl. Third, we used aggressive artificial organ support in selected patients, such as renal replacement therapy and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

The present study has some limitations. First, this was a retrospective observation study. Second, there were relatively few cases in our study, despite it is the largest series so far. Most of the reports in the literature of ischemic intestines inducing HPVG were all small-numbered case series [15,19,20]. Third, we tried to analyze if the timing of operation was a significant factor. However, the correct time of actual mesenteric ischemia was difficult to define, especially in unconscious patients. Other laboratory tests such as arterial blood gas and lactate level should be checked especially in unconscious patients to detect the severe sepsis earlier. We agreed that early bowel resection is the most important element to save theses patients. When mesenteric ischemia was suspected, imaging study, such as CT or angiography, should be considered. HPVG was not only a "poor" imaging finding but also a clue of acute abdomen.

Conclusions

Patients with HPVG detected on CT scan had a poor prognosis if the underlying disease was associated with ischemic bowel disease. Only bowel resection could afford the chance of survival in selected cases. Patients with high scores of APACHE II and longer length of bowel resection are associated with poor prognosis.

Author details

¹Division of General Surgery, Department of Surgery, National Taiwan University, Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. ²Division of General Surgery, Department of Surgery, E-Da Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. ³Department of Traumatology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

Authors' contributions

J-M Wu, M-S Tsai, and T-H Lin conceived the idea, drafted the manuscript, and analyzed the data. M-T Lin contributed to data interpretation and revised the manuscript. Y-W Tien contributed to data verification and revised the manuscript. All authors have participated in the data analysis and reporting stage of this manuscript, and have seen and approved the final version.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 24 July 2010 Accepted: 9 March 2011 Published: 9 March 2011

References

- Wolfe JN, Evans WA: Gas in the portal veins of the liver in infants: a roentgenographic demonstration with postmortem anatomical correlation. Am J Roentgenol 1955, 74:486-9.
- Susman N, Senturia HR: Gas embolization of the portal venous system. Am J Roentgenol 1960, 83:847-50.
- Kirsch M, Bozdech J, Gardner DA: Hepatic portal venous gas: an unusual presentation of Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1990, 85:1521-3.
- Draghetti MJ, Salvo AF: Gas in the mesenteric veins as a nonfatal complication of diverticulitis: report of a case. Dis Colon Rectum 1999, 42:1497-8
- Sebastia C, Quiroga S, Espin E, Boye R, Alvarez-Castells A, Armengol M: Portomesenteric vein gas: pathologic mechanisms, CT findings, and prognosis. Radiographics 2000, 20:1213-24.
- Zhang D, Weltman D, Baykal A: Portal vein gas and colonic pneumatosis after enema, with spontaneous resolution. AJR 1999, 173:1140-1.
- Barthet M, Membrini P, Bernard JP, Sahel J: Hepatic portal venous gas after endoscopic biliary sphinterotomy. Gastrointest Endosc 1994, 40:261-3.

- Liebman PR, Patten MT, Manny J, Benfield JR, Hechtman HB: Hepatic-portal venous gas in adults: etiology, pathophysiology and clinical significance. Ann Surg 1978, 187:281-7.
- Hong JJ, Gadaleta D, Rossi P, Esquivel J, Davis JM: Portal vein gas, a changing clinical entity. Report of 7 patients and review of the literature. Arch Surg 1997, 132:1071-5.
- Paran H, Epstein T, Gutman M, Shapiro Feinberg M, Zissin R: Mesenteric and portal vein gas: computerized tomography findings and clinical significance. Dig Surg 2003, 20:127-32.
- Hsu HP, Shan YS, Hsieh YH, Sy ED, Lin PW: Impact of etiologic factors and APACHE II and POSSUM scores in management and clinical outcome of acute intestinal ischemic disorders after surgical treatment. World J Surg 2006, 30:2152-62.
- Gajic O, Urrutia LE, Sewani H, Schroeder DR, Cullinane DC, Peters SG: Acute abdomen in the medical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2002, 30:1187-1190.
- Sharshar T, Annane D, de la Grandmaison GL, Brouland JP, Hopkinson NS, Françoise G: The neuropathology of septic shock. Brain Pathol 2004, 14:21-33
- Schindera ST, Triller J, Vock P, Hoppe H: Detection of hepatic portal venous gas: its clinical impact and outcome. Emerg Radiol 2006, 12:164-70
- Monneuse O, Pilleul F, Barth X, Gruner L, Allaouchiche B, Valette PJ, Tissot: Portal venous gas detected on computed tomography in emergency situations: surgery is still necessary. World J Surg 2007, 31:1065-71.
- Wiesner W, Mortele KJ, Glickman JN, Ji H, Ros PR: Pneumatosis intestinalis and portomesenteric venous gas in intestinal ischemia: correlation of CT findings with severity of ischemia and clinical outcome. AJR 2001, 177:1319-23.
- Kassahun WT, Schulz T, Richter O, Hauss J: Unchanged high mortality rates from acute occlusive intestinal ischemia: six year review. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2008, 393:163-71.
- Zandrino F, Musante F, Gallesio I, Benzi L: Assessment of patients with acute mesenteric ischemia: multislice computed tomography signs and clinical performance in a group of patients with surgical correlation. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol* 2006, 52:317-25.
- Kirkpatrick ID, Kroeker MA, Greenberg HM: Biphasic CT with mesenteric CT angiography in the evaluation of acute mesenteric ischemia: initial experience. *Radiology* 2003, 229:91-8.
- Peloponissios N, Halkic N, Pugnale M, Jornod P, Nordback P, Meyer A, Gillet M: Hepatic portal gas in adults: review of the literature and presentation of a consecutive series of 11 cases. Arch Surg 2003, 138:1367-70.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-230X/11/18/prepub

doi:10.1186/1471-230X-11-18

Cite this article as: Wu et al.: High APACHE II score and long length of bowel resection impair the outcomes in patients with necrotic bowel induced hepatic portal venous gas. BMC Gastroenterology 2011 11:18.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

